

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/501,763	07/19/2004	Gunter Holzemann	MERCK-2903	3011
23599 7590 01/15/2008 MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON, VA 22201			EXAMINER	
			CHANG, CELIA C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			01/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
·	10/501,763	HOLZËMANN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Celia Chang	1625	
The MAILING DATE of this communication  Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICER 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a stion.  y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		·	
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed or</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u</li> </ol>	This action is non-final.  Allowance except for formal mat		
Disposition of Claims		•	
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,11-18 and 21-24</u> is/are pen 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11,13,14,17 ar</u> 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,12,16 and 21-24</u> is/are reje 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	nd 18 is/are withdrawn from con	sideration.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) objected to to the drawing(s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for to a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doces.  2. Certified copies of the priority doces.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International.  * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in A ne priority documents have beer Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 	

10/501,763 Art Unit: 1625

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Amendment and response filed by applicants dated Oct. 18, 2007 have been entered and considered carefully.

Claims 8-10, 15, 19-20 have been canceled. Claims 13-14, 17-18 stayed withdrawn. Claims 21-24 are newly added. Claims 1-7, 11-12, 16, 21-24 are pending. Applicants have amended claim 11 to be multiple component composition which have been withdrawn from prosecution. Continued prosecution of claims 1-7, 12, 16, 21-24

- 2. No certified translation of the priority document was submitted. The effective filing date for the instant application is the PCT filing dated of 17 December, 2002.
- 3. The rejection of claims 1-7 under 35 USC 112 second paragraph is withdrawn in view of the deletion of the term derivatives.
- 4. The rejection of claims 1-12, 15-16 under 35 USC 112 first paragraph are now applicable to the newly added claims 21-24 necessitated by such addition.

Applicants argued that just because making hydrates/solvates is a nightmare to one having ordinary skill it does not posses undue experimentation to persons having ordinary skill in the art to make them. This argument is self contradictory. The Braga reference showed that the state of the art is that in so far as hydrate/solvate of a compound is concerned what one <u>possess</u> is what you can make, what one does not possess there is no prediction. In other words, at the time the invention was made, if the specification posses no solvates, no process in the art can support how to make any. The specification provided no solvates.

Further, the specification provided no enablement as to how to obtain "isolated" stereoisomer of any compound of formula I. Please note that the specification provided no description as to where structurally a stereo-isomer can be formed i.e. *cis-, trans-, chiral* etc. or how to isolate such un-described isomers. While one can posses mixtures of isomers occur naturally during synthesis, such possession does not support "isolated" stereoisomer when the kinds of isomer or how to isolate them finds no description and enablement in the specification.

10/501,763 Art Unit: 1625

Stereoisomer separation can be extremely unpredictable due to its empirical nature (see Morrison p.3).

5. The rejection of claims 1-6, 11-12, 15-16 under 35 USC 103(a) over Baumgarth et al. EP 649,838 supplemented with CA 123 in view of Doughty et al. US 2004/0180401 is now applicable to the amended and newly added pending claims 1-7, 12, 16, 21-24.

Initially, it is noted that there is no 102 rejections only 103(a) rejection. Further, the examiner did not understand applicants' arguments of pages 10-12.

The rejection is an obviousness rejection because the Baumgarth '838 "generically" disclosed the claims i.e. formula I when Ar and Ar' are *independent* substituted with Hal, CF3, NO<sub>2</sub> or NHSO<sub>2</sub>A, etc. The exemplification of Ar and Ar' substituted with identical choice of substituents (see RN 167859-10-1; 167858-76-7; 167859-05-4 of the reference delineated by CAS) and the explicit intermediates (see 86518-58-3) rendered the instant claims which are limiting Baumgarth 's formula I to Ar' is always substituted with NHSO<sub>2</sub>A and Ar is substituted with NO<sub>2</sub>, Hal, CF3 etc. obvious. The Doughty '401 reference is providing evidence that symmetrically substitution of Ar and Ar'; and asymmetrical substitution of Ar and Ar' are considered "analogous" art and are expected to have similar biological activity. In other words, the exemplified compounds and intermediates rendered the unexemplified broader scope (which is the instant claims) obvious. The '401 reference is supporting evidence that the exemplified and unexemplified scope of Baumgarth '838 would be *expected* to have similar activity, thus, successful in operation.

In addition, with the explicit exemplification of RN 86518-58-3, and the synthesis of RN 167859-10-1; 167858-76-7; 167859-05-4, it would render the instantly amended claim 7 obvious since the operable procedure and the intermediates are explicitly disclosed even though the product was not delineated.

6. Applicants amendment necessitated the modification and extension of the rejections of record. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 4

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Celia Chang, Ph. D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0679. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet L. Andres, Ph. D., can be reached on 571-272-0867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

OACS/Chang Jan. 9, 2008 Celia Chang Primary Examiner Art Unit 1625